

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL NO.337 OF 1999

Satish Shankar Jadhav & Anr.

...Appellants

vs.

Tukaram Bhiku Raskar & Ors.

...Respondents

Shri Dilip Bodake for the Appellants

Shri Sanjay Kshirsagar for Respondent No.1

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.

DATE : MAY 5,2005.

P.C.:

. Not on board.

1. Consent terms are tendered on record and marked 'X' for identification. The consent terms are signed by the Advocate for the Appellants and the Advocate for the Respondents. The Advocates state that the Appellant No.1 has signed the consent terms for himself and as power of attorney holder of Appellant No.2. It is stated that the Respondent No.1 is the power of attorney holder of Respondent Nos.2 and 3 and he has signed the consent terms. The Respondent No.1 is also stated to be present in the Court. The Appellant No.1 and the Respondent No.1 admit the correctness of the contents of the terms incorporated in the consent terms. The original power of attorney executed by Respondent No.2 and 3 is shown by the Advocate for the respondents. He states that he will file

true copy of the same within a period of two weeks from today.

2. Second Appeal is disposed of in terms of consent terms.

Decree to be drawn accordingly.

3. Certified copy is expedited.

4. Parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

JUDGE.